

CESSA WP 2023-01

**Compilation and Application of Real Global Input-Output
Tables**

Nagendra Shrestha

Yokohama National University

September 2023

Center for Economic and Social Studies in Asia (CESSA) Working Paper

Downloadable from:

<https://www.econ.ynu.ac.jp/cessa/publication/workingpaper/>

***Center for Economic and Social Studies in Asia, Department of Economics
Yokohama National University***

Compilation and Application of Real Global Input-Output Tables

Nagendra Shrestha

Yokohama National University

September 2023

Abstract

This paper attempts to estimate the Global Input-Output tables in real terms using Inter Country Input-Output tables published by OECD, industry-specific producers price indices (for 14 manufacturing industries), Consumers Price indices (for two non-manufacturing industries), and exchange rates with respect to base year 2005. We also conduct basic input-output analysis to see the inter continent (Asia, North America, and Europe) linkage subject respective final demand and output based on reorganized nominal and real inter-continent input-output tables for 2020.

Keywords: Global Input-Output tables in constant price, Production inducements,
Economic impact

JEL classifications: C45, F60

1. Introduction

Recently Input-Output (IO) analysis has been popularly used in various fields related to Economics. Estimation of directly unobserved phenomena, known as indirect or induced effects, numerically is one of the major reasons for the popularity. Specifically in global context, international economic linkages can be assessed precisely because of its capability to address complex interactions among countries and industries. As such, various input-output datasets with international coverage are available. A few examples of the datasets are Inter Country Input-Output (ICIO) Tables published by OECD, Asian Development Bank's (ADB) Multi Regional Input-Output (MRIO) tables, and World Input-Output Database (WIOD) by the WIOD project among others.¹

In general IO tables are valued in current price or in nominal terms, which means that the price factor remains unaddressed. Moreover, in international economic framework an exchange rate factor, which is directly related to price in international trade, is also overlooked. However, comparison of economic phenomena over time or country or region in nominal terms may mislead the outcome of an analysis due to change in price and exchange rate over the period or area of concern.

In this paper, we attempt to estimate Global Input-Output (GIO) tables in constant price and exchange rate or in real terms by incorporating price and exchange rate to overcome the critical issue stated above. ADB estimates and provides constant price MRIO by assigning Consumers Price indices (CPI) to all industries as price factor despite different industrial characteristics and pricing mechanism. We associate 14 industry-

¹ See details on OECD (<https://www.oecd.org/sti/ind/inter-country-input-output-tables.htm>), ADB (<https://www.adb.org/what-we-do/data/regional-input-output-tables>), and WIOD (<https://www.rug.nl/ggdc/valuechain/wiod/?lang=en>) in respective links.

specific Producers or Wholesale Price indices (PPI or WPI) for manufacturing industries (primarily collected to estimate Industry-Specific Real Effective Exchange Rates (IREER) for Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI) project)², CPI for non-manufacturing industries, and exchange rates with the OECD ICIO tables to get 30 countries (excluding Rest of the World, ROW), 16 industries (14 manufacturing industries, 6 final demand categories, and one value added category GIO tables with respect to constant price and exchange rate in 2005³. The annual Real GIO tables cover 26 years starting from 1995 to 2020 and the amounts are presented in Million US\$.

As an application of the Real GIO tables, we first reorganize 2020 Nominal and Real GIO table into three continent-three sector inter continent IO tables and conduct a basic production inducement analysis to calculate Production Inducement Coefficients (PIC) and Production Inducement Shares (PIS) showing linkage between industrial production activities with final demand and gross output respectively. The result shows that the price and exchange rate effects are more prominent in PIC due to magnifying nature of complex interactions of price and exchange rate from the demand-side aspect.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes estimation strategy with respect to basic relationship of variables in nominal and real terms. We provide details of data used and compilation procedures in Sections 3 and 4 respectively. Section 5 conducts and discusses IO based production inducement analysis and its results. Finally, the paper is concluded in Section 6.

² See <https://www.rieti.go.jp/users/eeri/en/> for RIETI's IREER project. Also see Sato et al. (2013, 2015) for the details on IREER.

³ See Appendices 1, 2 and 3 for list of countries, industries, and final demand categories.

2. Estimation strategy

Here, we try to establish relationship of an economic variable⁴, say Y , in nominal and real terms. Nominal Y (say Y^N) is defined as product of price (P), exchange rate (E) and quantity (Q) all in current year $T=t$ as shown in equation (1), whereas real Y (say Y^R) is product of price and exchange rate in base year $B=0$, and quantity in current year T as expressed in equation (2).

$$Y^N = P^{T=t} E^{T=t} Q^{T=t} \quad (1)$$

$$Y^R = P^{T=0} E^{T=0} Q^{T=t} \quad (2)$$

Here, Y is expressed in UD dollars, P in local currency, E in US dollar per unit local currency, and Q in quantity measure. Using equations (1) and (2), we can derive real valued Y as equation (3).

$$Y^R = \left[\frac{P^{T=0}}{P^{T=t}} \frac{E^{T=0}}{E^{T=t}} \right] Y^N \quad (3)$$

Where $\left[\frac{P^{T=t}}{P^{T=0}} \frac{E^{T=t}}{E^{T=0}} \right]$ is price and exchange rate deflator.

Based on equation (3), for the given base year and target year prices and exchanges rates, single sector nominal priced GIO table (shown in Figure 1-1) with two countries A and B can be represented by real priced GIO table as given in Figure 1-2.

⁴ In this paper we deal with economic variables of different countries expressed in US dollars and price variables in local currencies. It means that further treatment of price in local currency is necessary with the bilateral exchange rates with respect to US dollar.

Figure 1-1: GIO Table in Nominal Term

| | Intermediate demand | | Final demand | | Gross output |
|-------------|---------------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | C_A | C_B | C_A | C_B | |
| C_A | Z_{AA} | Z_{AB} | F_{AA} | F_{AB} | X_A |
| C_B | Z_{BA} | Z_{BB} | F_{BA} | F_{BB} | X_B |
| Value-added | V_A | V_B | | | |
| Total input | X_A | X_B | | | |

Figure 1-2: GIO Table in Real Term

| | Intermediate demand | | Final demand | | Gross output |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | C_A | C_B | C_A | C_B | |
| C_A | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCA}^{T=0} & P_A^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCA}^{T=t} & P_A^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} Z_{AA}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCA}^{T=0} & P_B^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCA}^{T=t} & P_B^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} Z_{AB}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCA}^{T=0} & P_A^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCA}^{T=t} & P_A^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} F_{AA}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCA}^{T=0} & P_B^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCA}^{T=t} & P_B^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} F_{AB}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCA}^{T=0} & P_A^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCA}^{T=t} & P_A^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} X_A$ |
| C_B | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCB}^{T=0} & P_B^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCB}^{T=t} & P_B^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} Z_{BA}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCB}^{T=0} & P_B^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCB}^{T=t} & P_B^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} Z_{BB}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCB}^{T=0} & P_B^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCB}^{T=t} & P_B^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} F_{BA}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCB}^{T=0} & P_B^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCB}^{T=t} & P_B^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} F_{BB}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCB}^{T=0} & P_B^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCB}^{T=t} & P_B^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} X_B$ |
| Value-added | V_A^R | V_B^R | | | |
| Total input | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCA}^{T=0} & P_A^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCA}^{T=t} & P_A^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} X_A$ | $\begin{bmatrix} E_{S/LCA}^{T=0} & P_A^{T=0} \\ E_{S/LCA}^{T=t} & P_A^{T=t} \end{bmatrix} X_B$ | | | |

Notes:

1. Z, F, V and X represents intermediate goods transactions, final goods transactions, value-added and gross output respectively expressed in US dollar.
2. In double letter country suffix, First represents the country of production and second represents the country of use subject to intermediate and final goods.
3. $E, P, T = 0$ and $T = t$ represents exchange rate (\$ per unit local currency), price index, values at base year and target year respectively.
4. Value-added in real term is estimated as the difference of respective gross output and total of intermediate inputs.

3. Data

We use 2022 version of inter-country input-output (ICIO)⁵ tables published by Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) consisting annual tables from 1995 to 2020, 77 countries and regions (including Rest of the World, ROW), and 45 industrial classifications expressed in current basic price to estimate the new constant price GIO tables.

⁵ See <https://www.oecd.org/sti/ind/inter-country-input-output-tables.htm> (accessed on 2023/08/29) for the details of OECD ICIO tables.

Industry-specific price indices necessary to convert nominal values into real values are borrowed from the Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI) project named “Industry-Specific Nominal and Real Effective Exchange Rates of 25 Countries Worldwide”.⁶ The RIETI project uses monthly Producers Price Indices (PPI) or Wholesale Price indices (WPI) for 28 countries and 13 manufacturing industries starting from January 2001 to latest published month (December 2022 as of 2023/03/23) with year 2005 as base year. We extend the existing price data for 30 countries and 14 industries to comply with our research objectives.⁷

Further, we use annual exchange rates provided by UNSD, National Accounts for the 29 countries except Taiwan and National Statistics, Republic of China (Taiwan) for Taiwanese exchange rate.

4. Compilation of GIO Tables in Real Terms

First, we convert price data for manufacturing industries (borrowed from RIETI project) with monthly frequency to annual frequency by taking simple average. For non-manufacturing industries and a few manufacturing industries price data, which are unfortunately unavailable⁸, we use annual Consumer Price Indices (CPI) of respective country as the price data. Using these annual price and exchange rate information, we then calculate the annual price and exchange rate deflator $\left[\frac{P^{T=t}}{P^{T=0}} \frac{E^{T=t}}{E^{T=0}} \right]$ as defined in section

2.

⁶ See <https://www.rieti.go.jp/users/eeri/en/> (accessed on 2023/03/03) for the details on Industry-specific Real Effective Exchange Rates.

⁷ See Appendices 1 and 2 for the list of newly estimated Real GIO table’s country and industry coverage.

⁸ See Appendix 4 for the list of countries and industries for which price data are unavailable.

Second, the most crucial part of estimation process, we attempt to convert basic price valuation of ICIO variables into producers' price valuation in following three steps. 1) Country and industry-specific gross output in producers' price valuation is calculated as difference between that valued at basic price and taxes less subsidies. 2) We assume the intermediate input structure is independent of valuation system, so we deduct taxes less subsidies from intermediate and final goods amount with equal proportion. And 3) we subtract total of intermediate inputs from gross output, both valued in producers' price, to get the value-added in producers' price.

Third, we reorganize producers' price valued ICIO tables into 30 countries and 16 industries (out of which Producers Price Index data are available for 14 manufacturing industries and remaining two industries use Consumers Price Index) as per price data availability to get the real priced GIO tables.

Finally, we deflate the nominal GIO tables into Real GIO tables using the price and exchange rate deflator. Note that the price data for ROW is not available and assumed to be unit, our annual real priced GIO tables starts from 1995 to 2020 and consist of 30 countries (excluding ROW), 16 industries (two nonmanufacturing and 14 manufacturing industries), and six final demand categories with 2005 as base year for price and exchange rate.

Once we compile the Real GIO tables for 1995 to 2020, for this paper, we summarize and present the latest year 2020 transactions within and between three continents (Asia, North America, and Europe) and three industries (Agriculture, Manufacturing and Services) in Tables 1 and 2 for nominal and real (base year 2005) tables respectively.

The difference between values in nominal and real terms attributes to the change in price and exchange rate level in target year with respect to base year. Positive value in

nominal minus real term values imply that the aggregate price and exchange rate level in target year is higher than that in base year. In general, region with same price and exchange rate level as base year must be same for both real term and nominal term values. However, ROW+ in Tables 1 and 2 includes Australia and New Zealand that results in distinct values.

Table 1: 2020 Nominal inter-continent GIO Table

| | | Asia | | | North America | | | Europe | | | ROW+ | | | Final Demand | | | | Total Output |
|-------------------|-----|-------|--------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------------|------------|--------|--------|--------------|
| | | AGR | MFG | SER | AGR | MFG | SER | AGR | MFG | SER | AGR | MFG | SER | Asia | N. America | Europe | ROW+ | |
| Asia | AGR | 715 | 2,128 | 542 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1,059 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4,474 |
| | MFG | 820 | 9,671 | 5,107 | 11 | 152 | 170 | 7 | 160 | 122 | 58 | 358 | 260 | 5,568 | 388 | 263 | 509 | 23,625 |
| | SER | 606 | 3,844 | 7,252 | 3 | 28 | 102 | 2 | 49 | 141 | 20 | 64 | 150 | 18,037 | 168 | 127 | 160 | 30,755 |
| N. America | AGR | 6 | 39 | 9 | 135 | 390 | 125 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 10 | 274 | 5 | 13 | 1,063 |
| | MFG | 7 | 109 | 55 | 121 | 1,275 | 1,438 | 2 | 61 | 44 | 14 | 98 | 65 | 84 | 2,942 | 83 | 136 | 6,535 |
| | SER | 8 | 68 | 104 | 268 | 1,541 | 9,709 | 3 | 65 | 183 | 13 | 52 | 98 | 74 | 17,981 | 94 | 100 | 30,359 |
| Europe | AGR | 2 | 34 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 131 | 351 | 116 | 7 | 33 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 254 | 14 | 986 |
| | MFG | 14 | 195 | 98 | 8 | 85 | 78 | 107 | 1,835 | 1,412 | 36 | 263 | 185 | 164 | 216 | 2,460 | 364 | 7,520 |
| | SER | 9 | 86 | 155 | 4 | 31 | 128 | 202 | 1,867 | 7,849 | 27 | 101 | 293 | 115 | 127 | 12,474 | 218 | 23,686 |
| ROW+ | AGR | 32 | 578 | 136 | 16 | 75 | 25 | 8 | 102 | 43 | 955 | 1,181 | 460 | 24 | 9 | 30 | 981 | 4,655 |
| | MFG | 18 | 215 | 89 | 7 | 102 | 82 | 9 | 196 | 120 | 329 | 1,777 | 1,572 | 116 | 238 | 276 | 2,666 | 7,809 |
| | SER | 9 | 76 | 104 | 4 | 30 | 66 | 6 | 83 | 241 | 585 | 1,491 | 5,781 | 87 | 103 | 195 | 10,651 | 19,513 |
| Gross Value-added | | 2,231 | 6,580 | 17,095 | 482 | 2,813 | 18,431 | 507 | 2,735 | 13,408 | 2,603 | 2,359 | 10,626 | | | | | |
| Total Output | | 4,474 | 23,625 | 30,755 | 1,063 | 6,535 | 30,359 | 986 | 7,520 | 23,686 | 4,655 | 7,809 | 19,513 | | | | | |

Notes:

1. Source: Authors' reorganization from OECD ICIO2022 tables
2. Units in Billion US\$
3. ROW+ includes Australia and New Zealand
4. AGM: Agriculture and Mining, MFG: Manufacturing, SER: Services

Table 2: 2020 Real inter-continent GIO Table

| | | Asia | | | North America | | | Europe | | | ROW+ | | | Final Demand | | | | Total Output |
|-------------------|-----|-------|--------|--------|---------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------------|------------|--------|--------|--------------|
| | | AGR | MFG | SER | AGR | MFG | SER | AGR | MFG | SER | AGR | MFG | SER | Asia | N. America | Europe | ROW+ | |
| Asia | AGR | 506 | 1,500 | 379 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 726 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3,131 |
| | MFG | 617 | 8,157 | 4,022 | 9 | 140 | 155 | 6 | 142 | 107 | 49 | 327 | 234 | 4,796 | 366 | 231 | 469 | 19,828 |
| | SER | 433 | 2,862 | 5,467 | 2 | 21 | 72 | 2 | 37 | 102 | 14 | 47 | 106 | 13,562 | 119 | 91 | 114 | 23,049 |
| N. America | AGR | 5 | 30 | 7 | 104 | 303 | 98 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 19 | 4 | 8 | 209 | 4 | 10 | 824 |
| | MFG | 5 | 108 | 49 | 92 | 1,025 | 1,199 | 2 | 51 | 38 | 12 | 85 | 59 | 81 | 2,557 | 75 | 121 | 5,558 |
| | SER | 6 | 52 | 79 | 207 | 1,176 | 7,393 | 2 | 49 | 139 | 10 | 40 | 74 | 56 | 13,708 | 71 | 76 | 23,140 |
| Europe | AGR | 1 | 30 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 118 | 319 | 106 | 6 | 29 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 228 | 13 | 890 |
| | MFG | 14 | 235 | 108 | 8 | 89 | 83 | 108 | 1,860 | 1,474 | 35 | 281 | 199 | 181 | 230 | 2,606 | 388 | 7,901 |
| | SER | 8 | 77 | 142 | 4 | 28 | 119 | 180 | 1,673 | 7,126 | 25 | 91 | 267 | 104 | 116 | 11,351 | 197 | 21,509 |
| ROW+ | AGR | 30 | 554 | 129 | 16 | 75 | 25 | 8 | 101 | 42 | 949 | 1,165 | 455 | 23 | 9 | 30 | 974 | 4,586 |
| | MFG | 17 | 208 | 87 | 7 | 101 | 81 | 8 | 195 | 120 | 327 | 1,766 | 1,554 | 112 | 236 | 275 | 2,642 | 7,736 |
| | SER | 9 | 74 | 102 | 4 | 30 | 66 | 6 | 83 | 240 | 566 | 1,472 | 5,612 | 86 | 102 | 194 | 10,414 | 19,058 |
| Gross Value-added | | 1,481 | 5,941 | 12,469 | 366 | 2,559 | 13,845 | 448 | 3,378 | 12,009 | 2,586 | 2,410 | 10,480 | | | | | |
| Total Output | | 3,131 | 19,828 | 23,049 | 824 | 5,558 | 23,140 | 890 | 7,901 | 21,509 | 4,586 | 7,736 | 19,058 | | | | | |

Notes:

1. Source: Authors' estimation
2. 2005 as base year
3. Units in Billion US\$
4. ROW+ includes Australia and New Zealand
5. AGR: Agriculture and Mining, MFG: Manufacturing, SER: Services

5. Production Inducement Analysis with new data sets

In this section we use the conventional production inducement analysis based on the newly estimated nominal and real GIO tables for 2020. The conventional total production inducement vector \mathbf{X} can be estimated from the basic IO equation⁹ as shown in equation (4) with respect to GIO table presented in Table 1-1.

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_A \\ X_B \\ X_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{AA} & L_{AB} & L_{AC} \\ L_{BA} & L_{BB} & L_{BC} \\ L_{CA} & L_{CB} & L_{CC} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_A \\ F_B \\ F_C \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Where $\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{AA} & L_{AB} & L_{AC} \\ L_{BA} & L_{BB} & L_{BC} \\ L_{CA} & L_{CB} & L_{CC} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{Z_{AA}}{X_A} & -\frac{Z_{AB}}{X_B} & -\frac{Z_{AC}}{X_C} \\ -\frac{Z_{BA}}{X_A} & 1 - \frac{Z_{BB}}{X_B} & -\frac{Z_{BC}}{X_C} \\ -\frac{Z_{CA}}{X_A} & -\frac{Z_{CB}}{X_B} & 1 - \frac{Z_{CC}}{X_C} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$ is the Leontief inverse

matrix and $\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} F_A \\ F_B \\ F_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{AA} + F_{AB} + F_{AC} \\ F_{BA} + F_{BB} + F_{BC} \\ F_{CA} + F_{CB} + F_{CC} \end{pmatrix}$ is the final demand vector. We can easily

express equation (4) as bilateral transactions of production inducements given by equation 5 without any loss of generality.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{AA} & x_{AB} & x_{AC} \\ x_{BA} & x_{BB} & x_{BC} \\ x_{CA} & x_{CB} & x_{CC} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{AA} & L_{AB} & L_{AC} \\ L_{BA} & L_{BB} & L_{BC} \\ L_{CA} & L_{CB} & L_{CC} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{AA} & f_{AB} & f_{AC} \\ f_{BA} & f_{BB} & f_{BC} \\ f_{CA} & f_{CB} & f_{CC} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Such that $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{AA} & x_{AB} & x_{AC} \\ x_{BA} & x_{BB} & x_{BC} \\ x_{CA} & x_{CB} & x_{CC} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the gross output vector. Here, any bilateral

production inducements represent the production induced directly and indirectly in country with prior subscripts because of final demand in country of post subscripts. For example, x_{BC} is the directly and indirectly generated production inducements in country

⁹ See Miller and Blair (2009) for the derivation of basic IO equation (4).

B because of the final demands f_{AC} , f_{BC} and f_{CC} that are produced in countries A , B and C respectively and then supplied to country C .

Table 3 shows the production inducements calculated from the Nominal (Table 1) and Real (Table 2) GIO tables, and the differences between inducements in nominal and real terms in 2020. The results illustrated in last column of Table 3 clearly show significant increase in combined price and exchange rate effects across continents and industries specifically in Asia (highest difference of 7.7 trillion US\$ is recorded for service sector in Asia) except for manufacturing industries in Europe that marked -0.4 trillion US\$ in 2020.

Table 3: Production Inducements in 2020

| | | Production Inducements | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|------------|-----|------------------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | | Asia | | | N. America | | | Europe | | | ROW+ | | | | | |
| | | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. |
| Asia | AGR | 3,864.6 | 2,678.6 | 1,186.0 | 190.2 | 143.3 | 46.9 | 145.5 | 105.0 | 40.5 | 273.8 | 204.3 | 69.5 | 4,474.0 | 3,131.1 | 1,342.9 |
| | MFG | 18,732.3 | 15,467.1 | 3,265.2 | 1,535.2 | 1,390.8 | 144.5 | 1,166.1 | 1,007.6 | 158.5 | 2,191.5 | 1,962.3 | 229.2 | 23,625.2 | 19,827.8 | 3,797.4 |
| | SER | 28,314.6 | 21,218.5 | 7,096.1 | 766.4 | 577.1 | 189.3 | 674.6 | 498.9 | 175.8 | 999.2 | 754.4 | 244.8 | 30,754.8 | 23,048.8 | 7,706.0 |
| N. America | AGR | 96.0 | 75.4 | 20.6 | 831.7 | 642.3 | 189.4 | 52.2 | 40.7 | 11.5 | 83.5 | 65.3 | 18.1 | 1,063.4 | 823.7 | 239.7 |
| | MFG | 354.3 | 322.4 | 31.9 | 5,493.5 | 4,641.7 | 851.8 | 272.1 | 233.8 | 38.3 | 415.0 | 360.3 | 54.7 | 6,534.9 | 5,558.1 | 976.8 |
| | SER | 531.3 | 411.1 | 120.1 | 28,686.5 | 21,855.0 | 6,831.5 | 580.7 | 443.4 | 137.2 | 560.4 | 430.4 | 130.0 | 30,358.8 | 23,140.0 | 7,218.8 |
| Europe | AGR | 94.6 | 85.5 | 9.0 | 61.8 | 56.8 | 4.9 | 691.7 | 623.0 | 68.7 | 138.0 | 124.1 | 13.9 | 986.0 | 889.5 | 96.5 |
| | MFG | 695.5 | 766.1 | -70.6 | 612.1 | 644.9 | -32.8 | 5,071.0 | 5,283.9 | -212.9 | 1,141.5 | 1,206.1 | -64.5 | 7,520.2 | 7,901.0 | -380.8 |
| | SER | 830.3 | 760.9 | 69.5 | 704.0 | 643.2 | 60.9 | 20,810.9 | 18,891.4 | 1,919.5 | 1,340.3 | 1,213.3 | 127.0 | 23,685.6 | 21,508.8 | 2,176.8 |
| ROW+ | AGR | 976.5 | 930.1 | 46.4 | 344.6 | 344.7 | -0.1 | 413.4 | 410.7 | 2.6 | 2,920.2 | 2,900.1 | 20.1 | 4,654.6 | 4,585.6 | 69.0 |
| | MFG | 703.2 | 681.5 | 21.8 | 662.2 | 659.0 | 3.2 | 837.1 | 834.8 | 2.2 | 5,606.3 | 5,560.2 | 46.1 | 7,808.8 | 7,735.5 | 73.3 |
| | SER | 750.0 | 722.4 | 27.6 | 548.6 | 542.1 | 6.5 | 976.3 | 967.3 | 9.1 | 17,237.7 | 16,826.4 | 411.4 | 19,512.6 | 19,058.1 | 454.5 |
| Total | | 55,943.1 | 44,119.4 | 11,823.8 | 40,436.8 | 32,141.0 | 8,295.8 | 31,691.6 | 29,340.6 | 2,351.0 | 32,907.3 | 31,607.1 | 1,300.2 | 160,978.8 | 137,208.1 | 23,770.8 |

Notes:

1. Source: Authors' estimation based on equation (5)
2. 2005 is base year for Real term values
3. Units in Billion US\$
4. Diff. is difference of Nominal and Real values of production inducement
5. ROW+ includes Australia and New Zealand
6. AGR: Agriculture and Mining, MFG: Manufacturing, SER: Services

Now, we calculate two conventional measures of production inducements namely “Inducement Coefficient, **PIC**” indicating the extent of production inducements per unit of final demand and “Inducement Share, **PIS**” showing the extent of inducements per unit gross output as given in equations (6) and (7) respectively and present in Tables 4 and 5.

$$\mathbf{PIC} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_{AA}}{f_{AA}+f_{BA}+f_{CA}} & \frac{x_{AB}}{f_{AB}+f_{BB}+f_{CB}} & \frac{x_{AC}}{f_{AC}+f_{BC}+f_{CC}} \\ \frac{x_{BA}}{f_{AA}+f_{BA}+f_{CA}} & \frac{x_{BB}}{f_{AB}+f_{BB}+f_{CB}} & \frac{x_{BC}}{f_{AC}+f_{BC}+f_{CC}} \\ \frac{x_{CA}}{f_{AA}+f_{BA}+f_{CA}} & \frac{x_{CB}}{f_{AB}+f_{BB}+f_{CB}} & \frac{x_{CC}}{f_{AC}+f_{BC}+f_{CC}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{PIS} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_{AA}}{X_{AA}+X_{AB}+X_{AC}} & \frac{x_{AB}}{X_{AA}+X_{AB}+X_{AC}} & \frac{x_{AC}}{X_{AA}+X_{AB}+X_{AC}} \\ \frac{x_{BA}}{X_{BA}+X_{BB}+X_{BC}} & \frac{x_{BB}}{X_{BA}+X_{BB}+X_{BC}} & \frac{x_{BC}}{X_{BA}+X_{BB}+X_{BC}} \\ \frac{x_{CA}}{X_{CA}+X_{CB}+X_{CC}} & \frac{x_{CB}}{X_{CA}+X_{CB}+X_{CC}} & \frac{x_{CC}}{X_{CA}+X_{CB}+X_{CC}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

PIC and **PIS** measures the relationship between production inducement subject to final demand and gross output respectively. Here, it is important to notice that these measures account for complex interactions among industries and countries, which is impossible to address with the direct observations. Higher value of **PIC** (or **PIS**) indicates higher dependence on final demand (or gross output)

Table 4: Production Inducement Coefficients (**PIC**) in 2020

| | | Production Inducement Coefficients (Inducement/FD Total) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|--|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| | | Asia | | | N. America | | | Europe | | | ROW+ | | | Total | | |
| | | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. |
| Asia | AGR | 15.3 | 13.6 | -1.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 1.0 |
| | MFG | 73.9 | 78.4 | -4.4 | 6.8 | 7.9 | -1.0 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 0.5 | 13.9 | 12.7 | 1.1 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 0.4 |
| | SER | 111.7 | 107.5 | -4.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 38.5 | 33.9 | 4.6 |
| N. America | AGR | 0.4 | 0.4 | -0.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| | MFG | 1.4 | 1.6 | -0.2 | 24.5 | 26.3 | -1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 0.0 |
| | SER | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 127.8 | 123.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 38.0 | 34.0 | 4.0 |
| Europe | AGR | 0.4 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | -0.0 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | -0.1 |
| | MFG | 2.7 | 3.9 | -1.1 | 2.7 | 3.7 | -0.9 | 31.2 | 34.9 | -3.7 | 7.2 | 7.8 | -0.6 | 9.4 | 11.6 | -2.2 |
| | SER | 3.3 | 3.9 | -0.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | -0.5 | 128.0 | 124.6 | 3.3 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 29.7 | 31.6 | -2.0 |
| ROW+ | AGR | 3.9 | 4.7 | -0.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 | -0.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | -0.2 | 18.5 | 18.8 | -0.3 | 5.8 | 6.7 | -0.9 |
| | MFG | 2.8 | 3.5 | -0.7 | 2.9 | 3.7 | -0.8 | 5.1 | 5.5 | -0.4 | 35.4 | 36.1 | -0.6 | 9.8 | 11.4 | -1.6 |
| | SER | 3.0 | 3.7 | -0.7 | 2.4 | 3.1 | -0.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 | -0.4 | 109.0 | 109.1 | -0.1 | 24.4 | 28.0 | -3.6 |
| Total | | 220.8 | 223.5 | -2.8 | 180.1 | 182.0 | -1.9 | 194.9 | 193.6 | 1.3 | 208.0 | 205.0 | 3.1 | 201.6 | 201.9 | -0.3 |

Notes:

1. Source: Authors' estimation based on equation (6)
2. 2005 is base year for Real term values
3. Units in percent of total final demand
4. Diff. is difference of Nominal and Real values of production inducement
5. Shaded cells indicate the difference below -1.0% and above 1%
6. ROW+ includes Australia and New Zealand
7. AGR: Agriculture and Mining, MFG: Manufacturing, SER: Services

Production inducement coefficients (**PIC**), as illustrated in Table 4 and observe the results in vertical direction, measure the extent of gross production induced in continent-

industry due to final demand structure of the continent. For example, regional and imported final demand in Asia induces 223.5% in real term (or equivalently, 220.8% in nominal term) production activity all over the World. In the meantime, manufacturing industry within Asia enjoys production inducement of 78.4% (73.9% in nominal term) in real term. The difference of -4.4% may be attributed to collective effect of price and exchange rate decrease in 2020 compared to the base year 2005. It is important to note that the variation in differences (shaded cells in Table 4) in real term and nominal term production inducement coefficients evidently indicate that the composite price and exchange rate effect works differently for production inducements (very few negative differences in Table 3) and its coefficients with significant number of negative differences in Table 4.

Table 5: Production Inducement Shares (PIS) in 2020

| | | Production Inducement Shares (Inducement/Gross Output) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|--|------|-------|---------|------|-------|---------|------|-------|---------|------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| Asia | | N. America | | | Europe | | | ROW+ | | | Total | | | | | |
| | | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. | Nominal | Real | Diff. |
| Asia | AGR | 86.4 | 85.5 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 4.6 | -0.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 | 6.1 | 6.5 | -0.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | MFG | 79.3 | 78.0 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 7.0 | -0.5 | 4.9 | 5.1 | -0.1 | 9.3 | 9.9 | -0.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | SER | 92.1 | 92.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | -0.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| N. America | AGR | 9.0 | 9.2 | -0.1 | 78.2 | 78.0 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | -0.0 | 7.8 | 7.9 | -0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | MFG | 5.4 | 5.8 | -0.4 | 84.1 | 83.5 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 | -0.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | -0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | SER | 1.7 | 1.8 | -0.0 | 94.5 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | -0.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | -0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Europe | AGR | 9.6 | 9.6 | -0.0 | 6.3 | 6.4 | -0.1 | 70.2 | 70.0 | 0.1 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | MFG | 9.2 | 9.7 | -0.4 | 8.1 | 8.2 | -0.0 | 67.4 | 66.9 | 0.6 | 15.2 | 15.3 | -0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | SER | 3.5 | 3.5 | -0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | -0.0 | 87.9 | 87.8 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW+ | AGR | 21.0 | 20.3 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 7.5 | -0.1 | 8.9 | 9.0 | -0.1 | 62.7 | 63.2 | -0.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | MFG | 9.0 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 8.5 | 8.5 | -0.0 | 10.7 | 10.8 | -0.1 | 71.8 | 71.9 | -0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | SER | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | -0.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | -0.1 | 88.3 | 88.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | | 34.8 | 32.2 | 2.6 | 25.1 | 23.4 | 1.7 | 19.7 | 21.4 | -1.7 | 20.4 | 23.0 | -2.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Notes:

1. Source: Authors' estimation based on equation (7)
2. 2005 is base year for Real term values
3. Units in percent of gross output
4. Diff. is difference of Nominal and Real values of production inducement
5. Shaded cells indicate the difference below -1.0% and above 1%
6. ROW+ includes Australia and New Zealand
7. AGM: Agriculture and Mining, MFG: Manufacturing, SER: Services

Production inducement shares (PIS) are presented in Table 5 along horizontal direction, and it tells us about the share of gross production with respect to different continents. According to the table, direct and indirect contribution of Asia, North America,

Europe, and ROW+ are 78.0%, 7.0%, 5.1% and 9.9% in real term on the gross output of manufacturing industry in Asia. If we carefully check the difference between nominal and real term **PIS**, the magnitude is not so large as compared to **PIC** in Table 4. As **PIS** indicates the supply structure of an industry-continent, only a single price and exchange rate is associated with the result and hence the difference becomes marginal. However, in case of **PIC**, demand structure of production is associated with complex interactions of price and exchange rate of various industries and continents, which magnifies the effect of price and exchange rate and hence the difference becomes prominent.

6. Concluding remarks

This paper attempts to compile Global Input-Output Tables in real terms from the nominal based Inter-Country Input-Output tables published by OECD (Version 2022). We use producers price (or wholesale price) indices of 30 countries (listed in Appendix 1) and 14 manufacturing industries (see Appendix 2), consumers price indices for same 30 countries and two non-manufacturing industries (namely, Agriculture and Services), and exchange rates to estimate the Global Input-Output tables in real terms with 30 countries (10 countries in Asia, 2 countries each in Oceania and North America, and 16 European countries), and 16 industries (14 manufacturing, an agriculture, and a service sectors) for the period 1995 to 2020. It is a unique and contributing attempt of this paper to use the price data in disaggregated level as existing constant price international input-output tables (for example, MRIO published by Asian Development Bank) uses CPI as price factor and it is not clear whether they address foreign exchange rates or not.

A simple example, illustrated in this paper, of inter-continent input-output analysis shows that the composite effect of price and exchange rate works differently in supply-

side and demand-side aspect. The supply-side aspect shows a composite marginal effect of price and exchange rate. In contrast, the demand-side aspect tends to magnify the complex interactions of price and exchange rate among industries and countries.

Existence of Real Global Input-Output tables enable us to address price and exchange rate factor in economic analyses that most of the existing data sets are not capable of. Further, without loss of generality, assuming the values expressed in real terms as a hypothetical volume, we can apply it in other field of studies such as environment analysis.

7. References

Miller, Ronald E. and Peter D. Blair, 2009, *Input–Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sato, Kiyotaka, Junko Shimizu, Nagendra Shrestha and Shajuan Zhang, 2013, "Industry-specific Real Effective Exchange Rates and Export Price Competitiveness: The Cases of Japan, China and Korea," *Asian Economic Policy Review*, 8(2), pp.298-321.

Sato, Kiyotaka, Junko Shimizu, Nagendra Shrestha and Shajuan Zhang, 2015, "Industry-specific Real Effective Exchange Rates in Asia," RIETI Discussion Paper, 15-E-036.

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to Professor Kiyotaka Sato for his research guidance. This research is supported by Center for Economic and Social Studies in Asia (CESSA), Department of Economics, Yokohama National University, and Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C No. 18K01542 and 22K01475), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Data Sources

PPI or WPI for manufacturing industries:

| Country | Code | Data source | Link |
|-------------|------|--|---|
| Japan | JPN | Bank of Japan | http://www.boj.or.jp/ |
| Korea | KOR | The Bank of Korea | http://eng.bok.or.kr/eng/engMain.action |
| China | CHN | 1. CEIC 2. <i>China Monthly Statistic</i> 3. <i>China Statistical Yearbook</i> | |
| Taiwan | TWN | CEIC (include output data) | |
| Singapore | SGP | Statistics Singapore | http://www.singstat.gov.sg/ |
| Malaysia | MYS | CEIC | |
| Thailand | THA | CEIC | |
| Indonesia | IDN | 1. BPS, <i>Economic Indicators</i> 2. CEIC | |
| Philippines | PHL | 1. National Statistics Office 2. <i>Philippine Yearbook</i> | http://www.census.gov.ph |
| India | IND | Office of Economic Adviser | http://eaindustry.nic.in/ |
| Australia | AUS | Australian Bureau of Statistics | http://www.abs.gov.au/ |
| New Zealand | NZL | CEIC | |
| USA | USA | 1. FEDSTATS 2. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) | http://www.bls.gov/ppi/#data |
| Canada | CAN | Statistics Canada | http://www5.statcan.gc.ca |
| UK | GBR | CEIC | |
| Germany | DEU | GENESIS-Online Database | https://www-genesis.destatis.de |
| France | FRA | National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies | http://www.bdm.insee.fr |
| Italy | ITA | CEIC | |
| Spain | ESP | National Statistics Institute | http://www.ine.es |
| Austria | AUT | CEIC | |
| Belgium | BEL | CEIC | |
| Denmark | DNK | CEIC | |
| Finland | FIN | CEIC | |
| Greece | GRC | 1. CEIC 2. National Statistical Service | http://www.statistics.gr |
| Ireland | IRL | CEIC | |
| Netherlands | NLD | Statistics Netherlands Statline Database | http://statline.cbs.nl/StatWeb/?LA = en |
| Norway | NOR | Statistics Norway | http://statbank.ssb.no |
| Sweden | SWE | CEIC | |
| Switzerland | CHE | CEIC | |
| Russia | RUS | CEIC | |

Other Data:

| Data | Data source | Link |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Consumer Price Indices | CEIC | |
| Input -Output Tables | OECD Inter-Country Input-Output (ICIO) Tables | https://www.oecd.org/sti/ind/inter-country-input-output-tables.htm |
| Exchange Rates | UNSD National Accounts | https://unstats.un.org |
| Exchange Rate (Taiwan) | National Statistics, Republic of China (Taiwan) | https://eng.stat.gov.tw |

Appendix 1: List of Country Coverage (with country codes) in GIO table

| Asia | Europe |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 Japan (JPN) | 15 United Kingdom (GBR) |
| 2 Korea (KOR) | 16 Germany (DEU) |
| 3 China (CHN) | 17 France (FRA) |
| 4 Taiwan (TWN) | 18 Italy (ITA) |
| 5 Singapore (SGP) | 19 Spain (ESP) |
| 6 Malaysia (MYS) | 20 Austria (AUT)* |
| 7 Thailand (THA) | 21 Belgium (BEL) |
| 8 Indonesia (IDN) | 22 Denmark (DNK) |
| 9 Philippines (PHL) | 23 Finland (FIN) |
| 10 India (IND) | 24 Greece (GRC) |
| North America | 11 Ireland (IRL)* |
| 13 United States (USA) | 26 Netherlands (NLD) |
| 14 Canada (CAN) | 27 Norway (NOR) |
| Rest of the World+ (ROW+) | 14 Sweden (SWE) |
| 11 Australia (AUS) | 29 Switzerland (CHE) |
| 12 New Zealand (NZL) | 30 Russian Federation (RUS) |
| 31 Rest of the World (ROW) | |

Note:

1. Numbers represent the order of a country in the GIO Tables
2. Countries without RIETI coverage are indexed *.

Appendix 2: List of Industry Coverage of Real GIO table

| Real GIO | Details | Code |
|----------------------|--|-----------------|
| Y01 | Agriculture and Mining | Agriculture |
| Manufacturing | | |
| Y02 | Food products, beverages and tobacco | Food |
| Y03 | Textiles, textile products, leather and footwear | Textiles |
| Y04 | Wood and products of wood and cork | Wood |
| Y05 | Pulp, paper, paper products, printing and publishing | Paper |
| Y06 | Coke, refined petroleum products and nuclear fuel | Petroleum |
| Y07 | Chemicals and pharmaceuticals | Chemicals |
| Y08 | Rubber and plastics products | Rubber |
| Y09 | Other non-metallic mineral products | Non-metal |
| Y10 | Basic and fabricated metals | Basic metal |
| Y11 | Machinery and equipment, nec | Machinery |
| Y12 | Computer, electronic and optical products | Office |
| Y13 | Electrical equipment | Electrical |
| Y14 | Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers | Motor |
| Y15 | Other transport equipment | Other transport |
| Y16 | Manufacturing nec; Services and Others | Others |

Notes:

1. Y01 and Y16 are not covered by RIETI industrial classifications.
2. Y14 and Y15 are combined as Transport Equipment in RIETI industry coverage.

Appendix 3: Final demand coverage

| Final Demand List | FD Code |
|--|----------------|
| Household Final Consumption Expenditure | HFCE |
| Non-Profit Institutions Serving Households | NPISH |
| General Government Final Consumption | GGFC |
| Gross Fixed Capital Formation | GFCF |
| Changes in Inventories and Valuables | INVNT |
| Direct purchases abroad by residents | DPABR |

Appendix 4: List of countries and industries for which price data are unavailable

| | |
|--|---------------|
| <u>Y03 Textiles, textile products, leather and footwear</u> | |
| Singapore (SGP) | |
| <u>Y06 Coke, refined petroleum products and nuclear fuel</u> | |
| India (IND) | Austria (AUT) |
| Finland (FIN) | Ireland (IRL) |
| <u>Y13 Computer, electronic and optical products</u> | |
| Denmark (DNK) | |
| Switzerland (CHE) | |